

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS


In further response to the Office Action mailed February 24, 2005, and the Advisory Action mailed July 7, 2005, Applicant proposes to amend his application to place the application in form for allowance. It is proposed to cancel claims 1 and 4 leaving claims 2, 3, and 5-14 pending.

The Examiner stated that claims 4, 7, 12, and 13 were allowable. In this Amendment, claims 4, 7, and 12 have been rewritten in independent form, accepting the Examiner's concession of allowability. Claim 13 is a dependent claim depending from claim 12. In fact, all remaining dependent claims depend from a claim conceded to be allowable.

In the Office Action mailed February 24, 2005, the Examiner rejected claim 2 as indefinite for the use of the word "that". According to the Examiner, she could not determine the antecedent of that expression. While Applicant respectfully disagrees with this rejection, in order to advance the prosecution, the word "that" is replaced with the words "the respective". This change does not make any substantive amendment to claim 2 or the claims that incorporate claim 2 as so amended. While the Examiner did not comment on this proposal in the Advisory Action, it is believed that this amendment overcomes the rejection as to form.

Since the foregoing Amendment places the application in form for allowance, its entry is proper and earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,


Jeffrey A. Wyand, Reg. No. 29,458
LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER
700 Thirteenth Street, N.W., Suite 300
Washington, DC 20005-3960
(202) 737-6770 (telephone)
(202) 737-6776 (facsimile)

Date: August 2, 2005
JAW:ves

Amendment or ROA - Final (Revised 4/18/05)